

---

**OBJECTION TO CONSENT - [REDACTED]**

---

**From** [REDACTED]  
**Date** Mon 2026-01-12 17:22  
**To** North Falls <NorthFalls@planninginspectorate.gov.uk>

[REDACTED]

Dear Sirs,

I wish to register my objection to the proposed North Falls scheme.

My detailed comments are set out below:

1. This scheme really can not be considered in isolation. It forms only one element of an overall proposal for FOUR massive schemes which will, if approved, decimate the environment and character of our tiny village of Little Bromley. The schemes are those proposed by National Grid, Five Estuaries, Tarchon and North Falls.

2. This scheme, along with the others will bring:

- a) Widespread environmental destruction.
- b) Loss of wildlife habitats - trees, hedges etc.
- c) Loss of many hundreds of acres of prime farmland.
- d) Both temporary and permanent destruction to peaceful rural villages such as ours due to the construction of massive storage compounds, haul roads and substations.
- e) Massive increase in heavy goods vehicles - hundreds per day - through our tiny village road. The heavy vehicles will be grossly overweight and will cause great damage to our 7.5 tonnes limit road. Despite any assurances which may be given, the reality is that such traffic will not be properly managed. Large sections of the single narrow road through our village have no footpaths and we have cyclists, horses and most importantly, pedestrians, including schoolchildren who have to walk in the road to their bus pick-up point. This all leads to a greatly increased risk of accidents.
- f) Significant devaluation to local residential and business properties.
- g) Damage to local farm businesses. Many of our local farms are small businesses and the overall loss of land from the combined effect of these schemes may make the farms non-viable. This will only add to the nationwide loss of food

production arising from the government's uncontrolled rush towards the questionable "nett -zero" target. No proper thought is being given to back-up sources of power for when the wind doesn't blow and the sun doesn't shine.

h) Damage to older properties due to the volume of heavy vehicle movements. A number of these properties do not have modern foundations.

i) Damage to watercourses, with particular reference to well water upon which the older properties depend.

j) Little Bromley and the other areas which will be adversely affected by these schemes will gain nothing from these schemes and will simply be left to pay the huge environmental price for the benefit of the Greater London conurbation.....and GERMANY. Of course, the other main beneficiaries will be the largely foreign investors, who have no concern for the destruction which their schemes will cause. Their interest is quite simple - PROFIT.

k) Inadequate consideration is being given to the strategic folly of enriching the economies of hostile enemy states and more importantly, making this country more vulnerable to the future hostile actions of these potential enemies.

l) You will be aware of the scheme proposed by the so-called National Grid. Although theirs is a separate scheme to that of North Falls, there is some relevance to the North Falls scheme. There have been widespread objections to the National Grid scheme and a counter proposal ( never properly discussed by National Grid ) for an off-shore "ring main". If such a "ring-main" were to be constructed, there would be no need for all the other schemes to come ashore and all the objections above would fall away.

Yours faithfully,

CHARLES PENTON. ( Resident of Little Bromley )